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Abstract - Steganography is one of the most influential techniques to mask the presence of concealed secret information inside a cover image. Image steganography is the important technique as digital image files are the most significant cover objects for steganography. Various algorithms and techniques such as LSB Replacement and Discrete Wavelet Transform are used for processing the secret data. Results are obtained in terms of PSNR, MSE and BER design metrics for proposed system. Intensive computations are required for embedding secret information inside images, and thus designing steganography in hardware speeds up steganography. The design when implemented with FPGA Spartan 3A kit provides a least processing time, which might give a faster, programmable & commercial hardware solution for secure communication. Proposed algorithms produces PSNR between 42-46 dB for LSB and 49-55 dB for DWT.
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1. Introduction

The confidentiality of digital information should be maintained when being communicated precious data over the internet. In steganography, any embedding medium such as images, audio files, video files & text files can be used for hiding information. This work focuses on steganography techniques for image files. The term steganography is defined as “covered writing” which obtained from the Greek words “Stegos” & “grafia” [1]. The steganography system contains basic three elements such as i) cover object, ii) the secret message and iii) the stego object [2]. A digital image is presented as a 2-D matrix of the color intensities at each grid point (i.e. Pixel). Generally, gray images require 8 bits, while colored images use 24 bits to describe the color model, i.e. RGB model [2, 3]. Normally concealing schemes for images are classified in: “Time and Frequency domain”.

The time domain embeds secret data in the least significant bit (LSB) of image pixel. The fundamental LSB technique is easy for execution but it is fragile against few attacks like low pass filtering and compression [4]. The frequency domain inserts the secret data in the frequency coefficients of images which minimizes the difficulties found in the time domain [5]. Steganalysis is the practice of sensing concealed information which is crested using steganography. Steganalysis finds stego-images by examining various image features between cover-image and stego-images [6].

Now a day, FPGA hardware is used for developing various steganography techniques. FPGA provides re-configurability, faster response as well as the robustness for the image processing [7, 8]. The rest of the paper is organized as - Section 2 describes literature review. Section 3 gives overview of image steganography. Proposed system is discussed in section 4 and section 5 shows evaluation analysis. At last, section 6 gives concluding remarks.

2. Literature Review

The image steganography technique is studied with various techniques in spatial domain and frequency domain. From these techniques, few methods are discussed here in the literature review:

P. Karthigaikumar [9] has developed new method for FPGA implementation of high speed and low area DWT based algorithm. The algorithm is implemented with Matlab and then with virtex-6 FPGA. The results obtained at maximum frequency of 344 MHz in Virtex-6 FPGA with device utility of only 1.1%.
Maya C. S. and Sabarinath [10] have proposed LSB replacement and DWT techniques to implement image steganography. The system is implemented with FPGA Spartan III kit with processing time of 13.79 ns.

FPGA implementation of LSB image steganography is presented by Bassam and Saed [1]. The results are drawn from the analysis of n-bit LSB which provides adequate values of PSNR, BER and MSE for 2 or 3 bit LSB. 2-bit LSB gives 44.1 dB PSNR while 3-bit LSB provides 37.9 dB PSNR for Baboon image.

Edgar Gomez-Hernandez, Claudia Feregrino-Uribe and Rene Cumplido [11] have developed the FPGA implementation of the ConText technique which gives improved results over Matlab implementation. The result depicted processing time difference between software and FPGA implementation. Software requires an average 8.089 sec per image, whereas FPGA gives result within 0.0325 sec per image. Therefore, hardware runs 252 times faster over software.

With the literature review, various observations are drawn as mostly LSB and DWT techniques are employed for image steganography. From LSB method, 2 or 3-bit LSB serves adequate values for PSNR, MSE and BER. On the other hand, DWT technique is widely used due to its robustness, image quality and less processing time. Nowadays, FPGA implementation provides excellent results than Matlab implementation in terms of processing time, power and area requirement.

3. Overview of Image Steganography

3.1 Spatial Domain Technique

Both embedding algorithms and a cover image form a stego-system [10]. Digital image have high capacity to store data and information because of its high redundancy. LSB based steganography provides the simplest way of embedding secret data into the LSBs of image pixel values.

For example, Consider the number 400 which has binary equivalent as (110010000)2. After embedding these secret bits into the LSBs of cover image, the resultant pixel values are:

\[
\begin{align*}
(0010 \ 0111 \ 1011 \ 1001 \ 0101 \ 0110) \\
(1101 \ 0110 \ 1010 \ 1011 \ 1010 \ 1100) \\
(1100 \ 1010 \ 01001100 \ 00101100)
\end{align*}
\]

The bold bits are changed from their original value.

Also, the LSB steganography can be expanded using least n-bits to hide secret information. One can hide a large amount of information if the message to be hidden is compressed before embedding it. The resulting stego-image will look same as the cover image.

Several image metrics are used to compute the imperceptibility of the steganography. The metrics indicates the similarities or differences between the stego-image and cover image. The metrics used are:

- **Mean Square Error**: “Byte by byte comparison is performed on the cover image and stego-image to compute Mean Squared Error (MSE)” [1]. MSE is calculated as:
  \[
  \text{MSE} = \frac{1}{MN} \sum \sum (f_{ij} - g_{ij})^2
  \]

  where M, N are no. of rows and columns of cover image respectively, \(f_{ij}\) gives the pixel value of the cover image and \(g_{ij}\) gives the stego-image pixel value. Higher value of MSE shows deviation between cover and stego images.

- **Bit Error Rate**: “Bit error rate (BER) measures the actual number of bit position changes in the stego-image compared with the cover image” [1]. MSE and BER values should be as low as possible for good results.

- **Peak Signal-to-Noise Ratio**: “Peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) computes the quality of the stego-image compared with the cover image”. PSNR measured in decibels with the following equation:
  \[
  \text{PSNR (dB)} = 10 \log_{10} \left( \frac{255^2}{\text{MSE}} \right)
  \]

The higher PSNR better the quality. The acceptable PSNR value for images and video is noticed as between 30-60 dB [1].

3.2 Transform Domain Technique

The various transform domain techniques available such as:

1. Discrete Cosine Transform (DCT),
2. Discrete Wavelet Transform (DWT) and
3. Fast Fourier Transform (FFT)

These techniques are basically used to hide information in transform coefficients of the cover images which makes steganography system much more robust against attacks such as compression, filtering, etc [12, 13].

DWT is the mostly used compression technique in image steganography. At every decomposition level, the high
frequency and low frequency information is separated by the 1D-DWT method; thus at each level only two sub-signals are produced. 2D-DWT analysis must be used for images as images are represented by two dimensional signals that change spatially in horizontal and vertical directions. The same ‘mother wavelets’ as 1D-DWT is used for 2D-DWT analysis but with an extra step at each level of decomposition [14, 15].

The block diagram of 2D-DWT is shown in fig. 1 demonstrates the image decomposition. Firstly, the original image of size M rows and N columns is horizontally filtered on rows with low pass and high pass filters. Thus two sub-images are produced with size M*N/2. Then, the outputs are filtered vertically to produce four sub-images of size M/2*N/2 [14].

3.3 Stego-System

The embedding algorithms and a cover image are combined to make the stego-system. The secret information is concealed in the cover image for transmitting it on the Internet securely [16]. The various embedding algorithms and techniques are used to form robust stego system. Thus embedding function develops the stego image from cover image and on the other hand extraction function performs reverse operation of retrieving secret information from stego image [17, 18]. Figure 2 shows the general block diagram of a stego system.

Detection of physical steganography is known as Steganalysis which requires careful physical examination. Steganalysis is the method of attacking steganography and it is achieved by applying various image processing techniques such as image filtering, cropping, rotating and translating [19, 20].

4. Proposed System

Proposed system uses 2-bit LSB and 2 dimensional Haar DWT techniques for gray scale image steganography. 2-bit LSB algorithm is considered here as it gives desired values in terms of PSNR, MSE and BER for various image file formats e.g. .tif, .png, .bmp, etc.

In proposed paper, the image steganography technique is evaluated with 256*256 size gray scale image where each pixel is represented with 8 bits.

Using LSB technique, secret image data can be concealed into the least significant bits of the cover file. Thus, human eye can’t be able to identify the hidden data in the cover image.

With DWT, image steganography achieves improved security and robustness than LSB method. In the proposed system, 2 dimensional DWT provides better results over LSB technique in terms of PSNR, MSE, BER and processing time.

Block schematic of proposed system depicted in fig. 3 shows the embedding and extraction process of image steganography. Mainly DWT technique is employed for embedding and extracting process.
The proposed work is carried out with two techniques such as 2-bit LSB replacement and 2-D DWT with the help of Matlab and same using hardware FPGA. This system uses Spartan 3A kit to perform hardware implementation which gives faster response in terms of processing time than Matlab.

4.1 Image Steganography Embedding Process using DWT

Embedding process of proposed mechanism is displayed with the help of flowchart as shown in fig. 4. DWT transformed cover image and secret image are embedded and then inverse DWT transformation is performed to get stego image. This stego image can be sent on Internet or network for transmission. At receiving side, extraction process with the use of DWT is implemented on received stego image to retrieve original secret and cover image as depicted in fig. 5.

4.2 Image Steganography Extraction Process using DWT

5. Evaluation Analysis

Evaluation analysis of the proposed work is derived for 2-bit LSB and discrete wavelet transforms on different images using Matlab 2013a.

5.1 Evaluation Results for LSB Method

Table 1 and 2 demonstrates the result of LSB and DWT respectively in terms of PSNR, MSE and BER design metrics. Results are evaluated with different cover and secret image formats.
Table 1: Result Table of 2-bit LSB

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover Image</th>
<th>Secret Image</th>
<th>PSNR (dB)</th>
<th>MSE</th>
<th>BER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coins.png (256*256)</td>
<td>Rice.png (256*256)</td>
<td>45.32</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.247</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>Rice.png (256*256)</td>
<td>45.13</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testpat1.png (256*256)</td>
<td>Eight.png (256*256)</td>
<td>44.51</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>0.529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameraman.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>Goldfish.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>43.47</td>
<td>2.87</td>
<td>0.246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>Eight.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>43.05</td>
<td>3.02</td>
<td>0.245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice.png (256*256)</td>
<td>Hibiscus.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>42.91</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldfish.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>Testpat1.png (256*256)</td>
<td>42.84</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>0.253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6 shows different images for LSB algorithm. Here, “Hibiscus.tif” image as carrier image and “Rice.png” image as secret image are used. LSB algorithm hides secret data into cover image and develops stego image looking same as cover image. So intruder can’t get idea about secret data hidden into cover image. Finally, secret data is retrieved in the decoding process.

5.2 Evaluation Results for DWT Method

Table 2 gives improved DWT results over LSB results. DWT provides higher PSNR values and lower MSE, BER values and hence better visual image quality than LSB as shown in fig. 7.

Table 2: Result Table of 2D-DWT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover Image</th>
<th>Secret Image</th>
<th>PSNR (dB)</th>
<th>MSE</th>
<th>BER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coins.png (256*256)</td>
<td>Rice.png (256*256)</td>
<td>54.61</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>Rice.png (256*256)</td>
<td>54.23</td>
<td>0.226</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testpat1.png (256*256)</td>
<td>Eight.png (256*256)</td>
<td>49.91</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cameraman.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>Goldfish.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>50.53</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hibiscus.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>Eight.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>49.50</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rice.png (256*256)</td>
<td>Hibiscus.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>50.54</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goldfish.tif (256*256)</td>
<td>Testpat1.png (256*256)</td>
<td>49.59</td>
<td>0.693</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7: Cover, Secret and Stego Images for DWT Method

Figure 8: PSNR Characteristic for Different Images
Figure 8 and 9 depicts PSNR and MSE characteristics for DWT and LSB algorithms. DWT shows higher PSNR and less MSE for different secret images compared with LSB method.

![Figure 9: MSE Characteristic for Different Images](image)

Table 3: Device Utilization Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Logic Utilization</th>
<th>Used</th>
<th>Available</th>
<th>Utilization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Slices</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>704</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Slice Flip Flops</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of 4 input LUTs</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>1408</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bonded IOBs</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of GCLKs</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Device utilization summary of proposed work is illustrated in Table 3. Proposed system makes use of Xilinx Spartan 3A device with target device ‘XC3S50A’ of target package as ‘tq144’ and target speed ‘4’.

6. Conclusion

In this paper the performance of image steganography is evaluated based on various metrics such as PSNR, MSE, BER and processing time. The proposed LSB replacement and DWT technique provides high PSNR and less MSE and BER values than previous methods. Proposed LSB algorithm produces PSNR in the range of 42-46 dB whereas DWT generates PSNR between 49-55dB. Spartan 3A development kit produces better performance in terms of processing time for same LSB and DWT algorithms. Future work should focus on hardware implementation based on various spatial and transform domain techniques to improve the robustness and image quality performance further, as well as minimizing the processing speed and power.
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